ARE PREFERENCES STATED IN WEB VS. PERSONAL INTERVIEWS DIFFERENT?

A Comparison of Willingness to Pay Results for a Large Multi-Country Study of the Baltic Sea Eutrophication Reduction

Ewa Zawojska and Mikołaj Czajkowski

University of Warsaw, Department of Economics, Poland 🛛 🧩 🛞

ezawojska@wne.uw.edu.pl

Stated preference (SP) methods

- Used to determine <u>public's preferences</u>, especially towards non-market goods
- <u>Survey-based</u> in specially designed surveys, respondents state what they would do
- Administered by various modes: mail, phone, web, in-person
- Important for <u>cost-benefit analysis</u> they allow to estimate the benefits

What are the social benefits from reducing eutrophication of the Baltic Sea?

• Ahtiainen et al. (2014):

"Benefits of meeting nutrient reduction targets for the Baltic Sea – A contingent valuation study in the nine coastal states." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 3(3):1-28.

- A large multi-country study it involved all Baltic Sea countries
- With 10,000 observations, the study is claimed to be:
 - the largest international valuation research concerning the marine environment,
 - the first one to include all nine littoral countries.

What are the social benefits from reducing eutrophication of the Baltic Sea?

• Ahtiainen et al. (2014):

"Benefits of meeting nutrient reduction targets for the Baltic Sea – A contingent valuation study in the nine coastal states." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 3(3):1-28.

- A large multi-country study it involved all Baltic Sea countries
- With 10,000 observations, the study is claimed to be:
 - the largest international valuation research concerning the marine environment,
 - the first one to include all nine littoral countries.
- In different countries, different survey modes were used: web and/or in-person interviews.
- (How) did the data collection mode affect the survey results?
- Ahtiainen et al. (2014) do not examine the mode effect.

Web and in-person SP surveys

- In-person interviews have been long acknowledged as best practice.
- Internet allows researchers to administer surveys cheaper and faster.
- Web surveys are gaining more and more popularity.



Web and in-person SP surveys

- In-person interviews have been long acknowledged as best practice.
- Internet allows researchers to administer surveys cheaper and faster.
- Web surveys are gaining more and more popularity.



Comparisons of web and personal SP surveys

Author(s)	Difference in values between modes	
Balderas Torres et al. (2013)	Yes	Web < Personal
Bell et al. (2011)	Yes	Web < Personal
Capavari at al (2005)	Yes and No	Web > Personal
Callavall et al. (2005)	(depending on a question format)	
Cardamone et al. (2014)	No	
Covey et al. (2010)	No	
Lee et al. (2016)	Yes	Web < Personal
Lindhjem and Navrud (2011)	No	
Marta-Pedroso et al. (2007)	Yes	Web < Personal
Mjedle et al. (2016)	Yes	Web < Personal
Mulhern et al. (2013)	No	
Nielsen (2011)	No	
Ščasný and Alberini (2012)	No	
van dar Haida at al (acc?)	Yes and No	Web < Personal
vali del Helde et al. (2006)	(depending on a scenario)	

Comparisons of web and personal SP surveys

Author(s)	Difference in values between modes				
Balderas Torres et al. (2013)	Yes	Web < Personal			
Bell et al. (2011)	Yes	Web < Personal			
Canavari et al (2005)	Yes and No	Web > Personal			
Canavan et al. (2005)	(depending on a question format)				
Cardamone et al. (2014)	No				
Covey et al. (2010)	No				
Lee et al. (2016)	Yes	Web < Personal			
Lindhjem and Navrud (2011)	No				
Marta-Pedroso et al. (2007)	Yes	Web < Personal			
Mjedle et al. (2016)	Yes veses	Web < Personal			
Mulhern et al. (2013)	No 7 100	2			
Nielsen (2011)	No 8 NOC				
Ščasný and Alberini (2012)	No				
van der Heide et al. (acc?)	Yes and No	Web < Personal			
vali del Helde et al. (2006)	(depending on a scenario)				



Sources of differences between the modes

WHO and HOW respond

Sources of differences between the modes

WHO and HOW respond

Sample selection

"Pure" mode effect

- Social desirability
- Information processing

•

Sources of differences between the modes



Sample selection

"Pure" mode effect

(We weigh the observations from web and in-person samples to account for possible sociodemographic differences.)

- Social desirability
- Information processing

•

Our research questions

1. Do web and in-person surveys lead to different value estimates?

2. What are the values of the eutrophication reduction of the Baltic Sea for every littoral country if the mode effect is controlled for?



- Eutrophication is one of the main threats to the natural environment of the Baltic Sea (HELCOM, 2010).
- Several governing frameworks in Europe have been enforced to protect the marine waterbodies:
 - the Water Framework Directive (European Parliament, 2000),
 - the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (European Parliament, 2008),
 - the HELCOM's Baltic Sea Action Plan (HELCOM, 2007).
- To justify the actions undertaken for meeting the goals of the frameworks, each Baltic Sea country needs to do a cost-benefit analysis.









Best

Worst

oxygen content in deep-sea bottoms.







Introduction	Literature	Research goal	Study design	Methodology	Results	Conclusions
Cur						
SUL	vey					

- The data was collected in October-December 2011.
- Two modes were used:
 - Computer-Assisted Web Interviews (CAWI),
 - Computer-Assisted Personal Interviews (CAPI).
- CAWI: Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, and Sweden
- CAPI: Latvia, Lithuania, and Russia
- Both CAWI and CAPI: Poland

Ir	ntroduction	Literature	Research goal	Study design	Methodology	Results	Conclusions
		• • •					

- The data was collected in October-December 2011.
- Two modes were used:
 - Computer-Assisted Web Interviews (CAWI),
 - Computer-Assisted Personal Interviews (CAPI).
- CAWI: Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, and Sweden
- CAPI: Latvia, Lithuania, and Russia
- Both CAWI and CAPI: Poland
- 1. We identify the mode effect based on Poland.
- 2. We use the relative difference in value estimates for CAWI and CAPI in Poland to recalculate the values for other countries, accounting for the mode effect.

Modelling approach

- A choice in the payment card means that WTP is equal to or higher than the selected bid and lower than the next higher bid.
- The payment-card responses can be viewed as interval-type data.
- We use the WTP responses to fit a parametric distribution.
- No a priori or theory-driven information about the WTP distribution.
- We try 16 commonly used parametric distributions and select the one that fits best to the data in terms of the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC).
- Distributions considered: Birnbaum-Saunders, exponential, extreme value, gamma, generalised extreme value, generalised Pareto, inverse Gaussian, logistic, log-logistic, log-normal, negative binomial, normal, Rayleigh, Rician, t location-scale, uniform

Modelling approach

- We calculate the value of the cumulative distribution function at the upper bound of a respondent's WTP interval and subtract the value at the lower bound of the interval.
- That gives the probability that the respondent's WTP lies within the interval.
- The value of this probability is this respondent's contribution to the likelihood function.
- We sum up each respondent's contributions and maximise the resulting function with respect to the distribution parameters.
- In addition, we supplement each distribution with a zero-inflation component to account for numerous zero WTP responses: the zero-WTP respondents are cumulated in a spike-discontinuity of the WTP distribution at zero.

$$\log L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ yes_{i} \cdot \log \left[CDF\left(b_{i,k+1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}\right) - CDF\left(b_{i,k}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}\right) \right] + \left(1 - yes_{i}\right) \cdot \log \left[CDF\left(0, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}\right) \right] \right\}$$

Introduction Literature Research goal Study design Methodology Results Conclusions Results Conclusions Socio-demographic differences between the mode samples (Poland)

• Chi-squared tests; CAWI – 927 observations; CAPI – 924 observations

Characteristic	Significant difference	In CAWI (compared to CAPI)
Individual income	Yes	Higher incomes
Occupational status	Yes	More students, retired, and home-employed people
Educational level	Yes	Higher education
Household size	No	
Household members under 18	Yes	Larger diversification
Age	No	
Gender	No	

 We weigh both, CAWI and CAPI samples, to make them represent the general population of Poland.

Results Test of the mode effect		Data for PolandBirnbaum-Saunders distributio		
	(A)		(B)	
	Parameter	Parameter	Parameter estimates	
	estimates	estimates	for CAWI (interaction)	
Change no voice ator	12.992***	10.508***	4.319***	
Shape parameter	(0.396)	(0.551)	(0.773)	
Scalo paramotor	1.118***	1.162***	-0.106***	
Scale parameter	(0.026)	(0.044)	(0.054)	
Spika constant	-0.100***	0.269***	-0.742***	
Spike Constant	(0.029)	(0.042)	(0.060)	
Model characteristics				
AIC/n	4.379	4.279		
BIC/n	4.388	4.296		
Log-likelihood	-4,049.478	-	3,953.781	

Study design

Methodology

Research goal

Introduction

Literature

Conclusions

Results

Introduction	Literature	Research goal	Study design	Methodology	Results	Conclusions		
Dee	.1							
Resi	• Data for Poland							
Test	Test of the mode effect• Birnbaum-Saunders distribution of							
		(A)		(B)		_		
		Parameter	Parame	eter Param	neter estimates			
		estimates	estima	tes for CA	WI (interaction)			
Shane	narameter	12.992***	10.508 [,]	<**	4.319***			
Shape	parameter	(0.396)	(0.55	L)	(0.773)			
Scaler	arameter	1.118***	1.162*	** _	0.106***			
Scale p	arameter	(0.026)	(0.044	′ ₊)	(0.054)			
Snike	onstant	-0.100***	0.269*	** _	·0.742 ***			
Эріке с		(0.029)	(0.042	2)	(0.060)	_		
Model	characteristi	cs						
AIC/n		4.379		4.279				
BIC/n		4.388		4.296				
Log-lik	elihood	-4,049.478		-3,953.781	>	_		

Introduction	Literature	Research goal	Study design	Methodology	Results	Conclusions
Resu Test	ults of the m	node effe	ct			
Simulate	ed WTP resul	ting from the fi	itted distribut	ion		

	Pooled CAWI and CAPI data	CAPI data only	CAWI data only
Annual mean WTP	11.92	6.44	16.10
per person (EUR)	(0.71)	(0.54)	(0.94)
95% confidence interval for the mean WTP	10.66-13.43	5.50-7.61	14.28-17.92
Spike probability	0.46 (0.01)	0.61 (0.02)	0.32 (0.02)

Introduction	Literature	Research goal	Study design	Methodology	Results	Conclusions			
Results Test of the mode effect									
Simulated WTP resulting from the fitted distribution									
	Pooled CAW/I								

	and CAPI data	CAPI data only	CAWI data only
Annual mean WTP	11.92	6.44	16.10
per person (EUR)	(0.71)	(0.54)	(0.94)
95% confidence interval for the mean WTP	10.66-13.43	5.50-7.61	14.28-17.92
Spike probability	0.46 (0.01)	0.61 (0.02)	0.32 (0.02)

Introduction	Literature	Research goal	Study design	Methodology	Results	Conclusions		
Res Test	ults of the m	node effe	ct					
Simulated WTP resulting from the fitted distribution								
		_						

	Pooled CAWI and CAPI data	CAPI data only	CAWI data only
Annual mean WTP	11.92	6.44	16.10
per person (EUR)	(0.71)	(0.54)	(0.94)
95% confidence interval for the mean WTP	10.66-13.43	5.50-7.61	14.28-17.92
Spike probability	0.46 (0.01)	0.61 (0.02)	0.32 (0.02)

Introduction	Literature	Research goal	Study design	Methodology	Results	Conclusions
Res Test	ults of the m	node effe	ct			
Simulat	ed WTP resu	ting from the fi	itted distribut	ion		

	Pooled CAWI and CAPI data	CAPI data only	CAWI data only
Annual mean WTP	11.92	6.44	16.10
per person (EUR)	(0.71)	(0.54)	(0.94)
95% confidence interval for the mean WTP	10.66-13.43	5.50-7.61	14.28-17.92
Spike probability	0.46 (0.01)	0.61 (0.02)	0.32 (0.02)

Introduction Literature Research goal Study design Methodology Results Conclusions Results Updated value estimates for other countries

- We recalculate the values of the eutrophication reduction provided by Ahtiainen et al. (2014).
- In contrast to Ahtiainen et al., we
 - control for the survey mode,
 - weigh the observations to make them represent the general population,
 - use the parametric distribution of WTP that gives the best fit to the data.
- The Birnbaum-Saunders distribution of WTP gives the highest sum of log-likelihood values.
- We also check other specifications:
 - the inverse Gaussian distribution, which is best in terms of average values of AIC and BIC,
 - such a distribution of WTP for each country that gives the best (lowest) value of AIC.

	Results of Ahtiainen et al.		Our results	
	CAWI	CAPI	CAWI	CAPI
Poland	12.2	12.2	16.1	6.4
FUIdHU	11.9-12.4	11.9-12.4	14.3-17.9	5.5-7.6
Denmark	31.7		36.4	14.5
Dennark	28.1-35.4		31.8-41.5	12.2-17.6
Estonia	24.0		28.1	11.2
Estonia	19.5-28.5		23.5-33.2	9.1-14.1
Finland	41.8		41.8	16.62
Fillianu	40.33-43.3		37.2-46.8	14.3-19.9
Germany	25.0		26.7	10.6
	23.4-26.5		23.8-30.1	9.2-12.8
Sweden	75.7		84.3	33.5
Sweden	59.8-91.6		75.1-94.6	28.9-40.2
Latvia		5.5	13.1	5.2
		5.3-5.6	11.2-14.8	4.3-6.3
Lithuania		8.8	24.4	9.7
Litiloania		8.3-9.3	21.3-26.9	8.2-11.4
Pussia		8.5	20.9	8.3
1/03310		8.1-8.9	17.4-24.3	6.7-10.3

- Annual mean WTP in EUR per person (with 95% confidence interval)
- Calibrated results are in brown italics.
- The values from our calculations are not statistically different from the estimates of Ahtiainen et al. (2014).
- The two survey modes generate significantly different value estimates.

Introduction

Results Spike probabilities

- CAWI leads to smaller spike probabilities.
- Smaller shares of respondents willing to pay zero in CAWI.

		Ahtiainen et al.	Our results
	Poland	0.47	CAWI: 0.32 (0.0155),
		(0.0001)	CAPI: 0.61 (0.0158)
	Denmark	0.48	0.39
		(0.0002)	(0.0164)
	Estonia	0.48	0.38
	LStoma	(0.0005)	(0.0226)
	Finland	0.37	0.35
	i illand	(0.0000)	(0.0124)
	Germany	0.46	0.42
	Germany	(0.0001)	(0.0132)
C M	Sweden	0.33	0.20
	Jweden	(0.0002)	(0.0131)
	Latvia	0.52	0.51
		(0.0002)	(0.0189)
	Lithuania	0.50	0.48
	Litioania	(0.0004)	(0.0206)
	Russia	0.69	0.67
	1/0551a	(0.0001)	(0.0135)

Conclusions

- Significant differences in preferences stated by respondents in CAWI and CAPI
- In CAWI, larger value estimates and smaller spike probabilities
- Need for caution when choosing a data collection mode
- Need for accounting for differences between modes
- A potential influence of the choice of a mode on the assessment of benefits from a considered policy
- A possibly context-specific nature of a relative difference between modes

<u>Ewa Zawojska</u>

University of Warsaw, Department of Economics 🥸



ezawojska@wne.uw.edu.pl